Pipe Loss Experiment Redesign

Team 10 Keith Caton Mark Frankenberg Michael Garelick Cole Nielsen

Project Description

- Client: Dr. Constantine Ciocanel
- Evaluate and redesign current experiment
- Measure pressure at different points to calculate head loss
- Use measurements to physically teach students about concepts introduced in fluid dynamics.
- The table is about 15 years old



Figure 1: Current Design

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Cole Nielsen

Design Description - Analysis

Materials:

- Originally were to use plastic or polycarbonate pipes.
- This brought up some issues:
 - Less durability
 - Hard to adapt reliable pressure taps
 - Difficult to connect to other system components
- Decided on copper pipes
 - More robust for constant use
 - Easily applicable to pumps, pressure taps, and flow sensors
- Copper has a very similar roughness to PVC; allows for same flow calculations.

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Cole Nielsen

Design Description - Analysis

Sensors:

► 2 invasive

- Manometer
 - > Placed a two points to measure the pressure difference over the distance between the taps
 - Measures the differential pressure
- Pitot Static Tube
 - > Applies Bernoulli's equation to obtain total pressure and velocity of the liquid
- 1 non-invasive
 - Keyence Ultrasonic Flow Sensor
 - Uses the correlation between time duration and speed of the flow to measure the instantaneous flow rate
 - ▶ Applicable with iron, copper, PVC, and many others

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Mark Frankenbeg

Design Description - Analysis

Pump

- Maximum power draw: 2400 W.
- Highest flow rate and pump head as possible.
 - Model 4UB80. Best efficiency at 70 gpm flow rate at 70 ft head.
 - ▶ 240 VAC, 10 Amps.
 - ▶ Model 4JMX6. Max flow rate at 130 gpm at 5 ft head.
 - ▶ 115 VAC, 18.0 Amps.
 - Model 4XW85. Best Efficiency at 38 gpm flow rate at 120 ft head.
 - ▶ 120 VAC, 20 Amps.

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Michael Garelick

Pump Vs System Analysis

- Maximum flow rate for each segment of the system:
 - 1. 55.61 gpm
 - 2. 44.81 gpm
 - 3. 48.92 gpm
- Change flow rate by varying:
 - 1. Pump Power
 - 2. Head Loss of System
- Pump Analyzed: GOULDS WATER TECHNOLOGY - Mfr. Model # 1MC1G1A0



Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Keith Caton

Design Description - CAD Model





Design Description - Bill of Materials

ltem	Quantities	Price (\$)
1 in x 10 ft Copper pipe	3	107.79
1/2 in x 5 ft Copper Pipe	1	6.84
1 in Copper Elbow Joint 90°	3	14.94
1 x 1/2 in Copper Reducer	1	4.51
1 in Copper Tee Joint	4	46.32
Keyence Flow Sensor	1	790.00
Dwyer Digital Manometer	1	217.08
Pitot Static Tube	1	15.72
Centrifugal Pump	1	775.00
Table	1	100.00-800.00
Total		2,078.12-2,778.12

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Mark Frankenbeg

Design Requirements - Customer Needs

- Durability
- Variable control
- Contraction, expansion, elbow, and T joint fitting.
- Three forms of flow rate measurement
- Reliable measurements
- Representation of moody diagram curve

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 -- Cole Nielsen

- Chose copper piping and a more robust pump.
- Implemented a gate valve after pump outlet.
- Pipe layout includes all types of required fittings for measurement.
- To invasive and one non-invasive flow meters.
- Pressure taps placed at reasonable distances and more precise monometers and sensors.
- Our flow covers a wider range of pressures and larger head losses.

Budget

- Two separate budgets:
 - ► Dr. Ciocanel
 - Includes: sensors
 - Undisclosed amount
 - Capstone
 - ▶ Includes: copper piping, fittings, pump, and the table
 - ▶ \$2,500 price cap
 - Estimated to be between \$1,054.6 and \$1,754.6
 - Currently nothing has been purchased

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Mark Frankenberg



Schedule

GANTT	\Rightarrow	\rightarrow	7	2018															
Name	Begin da	te End date	2 Coordinator	¢3	Week 4 W	eek 5 Wee	.k 6 Week	7 Week 8	Week 9 225/18	Week 10 Gwr18	Week 11	Week 12 Ortarta	Week 13 3/25/18	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	Ţ
Team Charter	1/18/18	1/25/18	All																
Website Version 1	1/26/18	2/23/18	Keith																
Website Version 2	2/26/18	3/29/18	Keith																
Website Version 3	3/30/18	5/1/18	Keith															-	
Presentation 1	1/26/18	2/8/18	All																
Presentation 2	2/9/18	3/1/18	All																
Conceptual Report	2/9/18	3/1/18	All																
Analytical Reports	3/2/18	3/22/18	All																
Presentation 3	3/22/18	4/17/18	All																
CAD Version 1	3/22/18	4/16/18	Michael																
Bill of Materials Version	1 3/22/18	4/16/18	Cole																
Final Report	4/6/18	4/26/18	All																
Prototype Demonstration	n 4/20/18	5/3/18	All															2	
CAD Version 2	4/20/18	5/1/18	Michael																
Bill of Materials Verson 2	4/20/18	5/1/18	Cole															2010	
Peer Evaluation 3	5/3/18	5/3/18	All																1
	$ \leftarrow$	\sim		2018															
Name	Begin date	End date	Coordinator	Week 35	Week 36	Week 37	Week 38	Week 39	Week 40	Week 41	Week 42	Week 43	Week 44	Week 40	5 Week 4	l6 Week	47 Wee	k 48 Vi /18 12	'eek 49
Progress Presentation	8/27/18	9/10/18	All									1999,040							25.12
Hardware Review 1	9/10/18	9/24/18	All																
Midpoint Presentation	9/24/18	10/15/18	All	-															
Final Product Testing Proof	10/15/18	11/19/18	All	-	-	-							-	-	-	-	-		
Final Precentation and Poster	11/10/18	11/26/18	All	-							_						1	-	
indi Fresentation and Poster	11/12/10	12/5/10	A11	-	-								-	-	-	2	-	_	
inal Report and CAD	11/20/18	12/0/18	Au	-	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_						

Team 10 -- 4/19/2018 - Michael Garelick

Figure 5 - Year Gantt Chart Schedule

Questions?